## Where We Are

 With Assessment @ IVCCILO Statistics

## Spring 2022 ILO Overview

| Division | COM | INQ | SOC | RES | Total | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HFAS | 110 | 165 | 78 | 0 | 353 | $16.6 \%$ |
| NSCB | 0 | 996 | 5 | 5 | 1,006 | $47.4 \%$ |
| NUR | 98 | 288 | 55 | 106 | 547 | $25.8 \%$ |
| WFD | 1 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 215 | $10.1 \%$ |
| Total | 209 | 1,663 | 138 | 111 | 2,121 |  |
| Percentage | $9.9 \%$ | $78.4 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |  |  |

ILO Assessments by Division Spring 2022


Top 5 Assessed Subjects

|  | COM.1.1 | COM.1.2 | COM.1.3 | INQ.2.1 | INQ.2.2 | INQ.2.3 | SOC.3.1 | SOC.3.2 | RES.4.1 | RES.4.2 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BIO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 296 | 243 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 708 |
| NUR | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 57 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 106 | 530 |
| MTH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 45 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 |
| WLD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
| ENG | 28 | 29 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 106 |

Percentage of Total Assessments


## ILO \#1 : Communication



## ILO.COM.01.1.AUD: Is the student proficient in communicating to or with a specific audience?

Semester

## ILO.COM.01.1.AUD: 22SP

| Division | Subject | \# Assessed | Success <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WFD | ELE | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| HFAS | ENG | 28 | $85.7 \%$ |
| NUR | NUR | 98 | $96.1 \%$ |

Sample Proportion Standard Deviation: 0.02
Fails the conditions for normality.

Assessments by Subject in 22SP
ILO.COM.01.1.AUD


# ILO.COM.01.2.ORG: Is the student proficient in demonstrating purpose and organization? 

Success Rate from 20FA to 22SP
ILO.COM.01.2.ORG


## ILO.COM.01.2.ORG: 22SP

| Division | Subject | \# Assessed | Success <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HFAS | ECE | 12 | $100 \%$ |
| HFAS | ENG | 29 | $97 \%$ |
| HFAS | PSY | 29 | $93 \%$ |

Sample Proportion Standard Deviation: 0.02
Fails the conditions for normality.

# ILO.COM.01.3.SYN: Is the student proficient in synthesizing and supporting ideas? 

| Semester | Assessed | Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20FA | 63 | $73 \%$ |
| 21 SP | 116 | $73 \%$ |
| 21 FA | 60 | $93 \%$ |
| 22 SP | 12 | $100 \%$ |



Percent decrease of assessments from 21 FA to 22SP: 80\%.

## ILO.COM.01.3.SYN: 22SP

| Division | Subject | \# Assessed | Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HFAS | ECE | 12 | $100 \%$ |

Fails the conditions for normality.

## ILO \#2 : INQUIRY



## ILO.INQ.02.1.GAT: Is the student proficient in gathering and selecting information?

| Semester | Assessed | Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20FA | 75 | $80 \%$ |
| 21 SP | 110 | $78 \%$ |
| 21 FA | 193 | $84 \%$ |
| 22 SP | 418 | $76 \%$ |



Percent increase of assessments from
21 FA to 22SP: 116.58\%.

## ILO.INQ.02.1.GAT: 22SP

| Division | Subject | \# Assessed | Success Rate |  | Assessments by subject 22SP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ILO.INQ.02.1.GAT |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample Proportion Standard Deviation: 0.02
Meets the conditions for normality: 99\% Confidence interval [0.7599,0.7601]. That is, if 100 sample proportions were found, 99 would fall within the $99 \%$ confidence interval and 1 would not.

## ILO.INQ.02.2.ANA: Is the student proficient in analyzing or investigating data?

| Semester | Assessed | Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20FA | 115 | $74 \%$ |
| 21SP | 603 | $68 \%$ |
| 21FA | 762 | $88 \%$ |
| 22SP | 676 | $73 \%$ |

Success Rate from 20FA to 22SP
ILO.INQ.02.2.ANA


Percent decrease of assessments from
21 FA to 22SP: 11.29\%

## ILO.INQ.02.2.ANA: 22SP



Sample Proportion Standard Deviation: 0.017
Meets the conditions for normality: 99\% Confidence interval [0.7296,0.7301]. That is, if 100 sample proportions were found, 99 would fall within the $99 \%$ confidence interval and 1 would not.

## ILO.INQ.02.3.ART: Is the student proficient in articulating reasons for decisions or solutions?

| Semester | Assessed | Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20FA | 117 | $91 \%$ |
| 21SP | 198 | $74 \%$ |
| 21FA | 355 | $75 \%$ |
| 22SP | 569 | $76 \%$ |
| Perme increaser |  |  |



Percent increase of assessments from
21 FA to 22SP: 60.28\%

## ILO.INQ.02.3.ART: 22SP



Sample Proportion Standard Deviation: 0.015
Meets the conditions for normality: $99 \%$ Confidence interval [0.7599,0.7601]. That is, if 100 sample proportions were found, 99 would fall within the $99 \%$ confidence interval and 1 would not.

ILO \#3 : SociAl Consciousness


ILO.SOC.03.1.DES: Is the student proficient in describing a social system, theoretical framework, culture, or lifestyle?

| Semester | Assessed | Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20FA | 32 | $100 \%$ |
| 21SP | 26 | $100 \%$ |
| $21 F A$ | 77 | $98 \%$ |
| $22 S P$ | 25 | $88 \%$ |



## ILO.SOC.03.1.DES: 22SP

| Division | Subject | \# Assessed | Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HFAS | GEN | 25 | $88 \%$ |

Fails the conditions for normality.

ILO.SOC.03.2.APP: Is the student proficient at appreciating diverse perspectives or differences within social, theoretical, or cultural systems?

| Semester | Assessed | Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20FA | 29 | $93 \%$ |
| 21SP | 0 | N/A |
| $21 F A$ | 104 | $95 \%$ |
| 22SP | 113 | $85 \%$ |
| Percent increase of assessments from |  |  |

Percent increase of assessments from
21 FA to 22SP: 8.65\%

Success Rate from 20FA to 22SP ILO.SOC.03.2.APP


## ILO.SOC.03.2.APP: 22SP

| Division | Subject | \# Assessed | Success <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HFAS | ECE | 20 | $100 \%$ |
| HFAS | ENG | 2 | $97 \%$ |
| HFAS | PSY | 31 | $93 \%$ |
| NCSB | CRJ | 5 | $100 \%$ |
| NUR | NUR | 55 | $98 \%$ |

Assessments by Subject 22SP
ILO.SOC.03.2.APP


Sample Proportion Standard Deviation: 0.038
Fails the conditions for normality.

ILO \#4 : RESPONSIBILITY

ILO.RES.04.1.SEL: Is the student proficient in articulating and assessment of self in relation to others?

| Semester | Assessed | Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20FA | 10 | $100 \%$ |
| 21 SP | 9 | 100 |
| 21 FA | 13 | $100 \%$ |
| $22 S P$ | 5 | $100 \%$ |

Percent decrease of assessments from 21 FA to 22SP: 61.5\%

## ILO.RES.04.1.SEL: 22SP

| Division | Subject | \# Assessed | Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NCSB | CRJ | 5 | $100 \%$ |

Fails the conditions for normality.

ILO.RES.04.2.SEL: Is the student proficient in demonstrating awareness of personal responsibility and positioning within a larger context culture, community, or system of thought?

| Semester | Assessed | Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20FA | 9 | $100 \%$ |
| 21SP | 22 | $100 \%$ |
| $21 F A$ | 240 | $94 \%$ |
| 22SP | 106 | $93 \%$ |
| Percm |  |  |



## ILO.RES.04.2.SEL: 22SP

| Division | Subject | \# Assessed | Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUR | NUR | 106 | $93 \%$ |

Fails the conditions for normality.

## Assessment Spothight

| Tracy Antle | Dawn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jason Beyer | Chambers |
| Christine Blaydes | Lori Cinotte |
| Christina Boughton | Dorene Data |
| Jessie Bouxsein | Lisa Dickey |
| Vince Brolley | Jeff Fesperman |
| Ann Bruch | Nick Fish |
| Sue Caley-Opsal | Jean Forst |
| Lauri Carey | Margie |
|  | Francisco |
|  | David Garrison |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Carmen | Theresa Molln |
| Hartford | Emily Morgän |
| Jonathan | Taylor Myers |
| Hubbell | Michael Phillips |
| LeeAnn | Kimberly Radek- |
| Johnson | Hall |
| Keith King | Charles Raimondi |
| Betsy Klopcic | Amber Robertson |
| Heather | Delores Robinson |
| Knoblauch | Michelle Story |
| David Kuester | Nora Villarreal |
| Tammy |  |



## ASSESSMENT is important

 because it allows us to- improve our teaching-and thus student learningwithin our own classes;
- make certain that students attain skills and knowledge required by their programs;
- chart students' learning as they traverse our institution;
- identify areas where we can improve curriculum, refine our programs, work toward greater attainments, or increase equity in and across our programs;
- demonstrate that students learn the skills and knowledge that we have deemed most important and essential across IVCC; and, finally,

- report those successes and improvements to our administration, our accreditors, and our community.


## There is still much more work to do:

1. We must gather more data, so we can develop a baseline and then recognize and interpret patterns-as well as refine the process of assessment, itself.
2. We must analyze the data and then discuss it within and across departments and divisions.
3. We need to examine student performance from the perspective of program achievement and degree completion and determine whether classroom methods,
 course or skill sequence, or other factors can better help students succeed.
4. Co-curricular assessment

## Thank You!

