Life Cycle Analysis Money... and More

Dorothy McCarty, AIA, LEED AP Lakeisha Lindsey

October 15, 2015



listen • engage • advise • deliver

Factors affecting decision making Goals of the organization

- Market-driven
- Core Business Delivery
- Public Image
- Political
- Financial Impact and Performance





Performance Meeting functional needs Appropriate Utilization Current and Projected Consumption of Limited Resources Energy and water consumption MONEY is a limited resource!





Quantifying Financial considerations

Is it prudent to invest in this building?

Discounted Cash Flow analysis is the method to model and evaluate financial options on a "life cycle" basis.





DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW ANALYSIS

 DISCOUNTING is the method of studying time value of money.

DISCOUNT RATE: The cost of money

- An opportunity cost of investment elsewhere
- Long-term interest rate on a secure investment
- Pro-rated cost of shareholder's ROI and debt service
- Related to CAP RATE in valuing property
- Impact of **RISK**
 - Lower rate for investment going forward
 - Higher rate for discounting uncertain future cash flows









End of Life

FUTURE VALUE

The projected value into the future of an investment, escalated at a determined
DISCOUNT RATE

- R x (1+i)ⁿ
- EXCEL FV(rate, nper, pmt, pv, type)





\$ 121,665

FUTURE VALUE of an ANNUITY

The projected value into the future of an investment stream, escalated at a determined
DISCOUNT RATE



\$ 100,000 per year at 4% interest



PRESENT VALUE

The value in today's dollars of an expected future cash flow, calculated at a determined **DISCOUNT RATE**

- R / (1+i)ⁿ
- EXCEL PV(rate, nper, pmt, fv, type)

\$ 100,000 at 4% interest at 5% interest?





NET PRESENT VALUE

- The value in today's dollars of a projected stream of cash flows, calculated at a determined **DISCOUNT RATE**
- EXCEL NPV(rate, value1, value2...)
- A positive NPV means the study is viable at given discount rate

\$ 100,000 savings per year at 4% interest







DISCOUNTED PAYBACK PERIOD









PRE-TAX CASH FLOWS







TOTAL AFTER-TAX CASH FLOW

TAX CREDIT OR TAX DEDUCTION?



OPTION WITH HIGHER NPV WINS

A – B NPV= \$250,000	D Z	z z	Z	Z	Z	
OPTION B NPV=(\$750,000	0)	Y	Y	Y	Y	
OPTION A NPV=(\$500,000	0) X	X	X	X	X	
AFTER-TAX CASH FLOWS	AK 20 [°]	10 201	11 201	2 2013	o 2014	
YE	AR 20 [°]	10' 201	11 201	2 ' 2013	3 2014	

MULTIPLE OPTIONS

SAMPLE STUDY- SOLAR COLLECTORS





SAMPLE STUDY- CHILLER REPLACEMENT

PRE-TAX CASH FLOWS

CHILLER REPLACEMENT STUDY											
COST OF MONEY	1.00%		The purpose of	this model is t	o study replac	cing					
GAS ENERGY ESCALATION	1.00%		inefficient equip	ment that is ne	ear the end of	its useful life					
ELEC ENERGY ESCALATION	4.00%		sooner rather th	an later							
OPERATING EXPENSE ESCALATION	3.00%										
TAX RATE	22.00%										
RISK FACTOR	1.00										
PRE-TAX CASH FLOWS											
ALTERNATE A- REPLACE EXISTING	CHILLER N	NOW WITH F	IIGH-EFFICI	ENCY CHIL	LER						
	YEAR 0	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
end of yr CAPITAL INVESTMTS AND RESIDUAL	(\$220,000)										\$73,700
end of yr NGAS ENERGY COST or SAVINGS		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
end of yr ELEC ENERGY COST or SAVINGS		(\$3,500)	(\$3,640)	(\$3,786)	(\$3,937)	(\$4,095)	(\$4,258)	(\$4,429)	(\$4,606)	(\$4,790)	(\$4,982)
end of yr OPERATING EXP COST or SAVINGS		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$1,000)	(\$1,030)	(\$1,061)	(\$1,093)	(\$1,126)
ALT A PRE-TAX CASH FLOW	(\$220,000)	(\$3,500)	(\$3,640)	(\$3,786)	(\$3,937)	(\$4,095)	(\$5,258)	(\$5,459)	(\$5,667)	(\$5,883)	\$67,593
	1										
			De								
ALTERNATE B-REPLACE EXISTING		N FIVE TEA	ĸs								
end of vr CAPITAL INVESTMTS AND RESIDUAL						(\$242,000)					\$92,000
end of vr NGAS ENERGY COST or SAVINGS		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
end of vr ELEC ENERGY COST or SAVINGS		(\$8,500)	(\$8,840)	(\$8,928)	(\$9.018)	(\$9,108)	(\$4,258)	(\$4,429)	(\$4,606)	(\$4,790)	(\$4,982)
end of yr OPERATING EXP COST or SAVINGS		(\$6,000)	(\$6,180)	(\$6,365)	(\$6,556)	(\$6,753)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ALT B PRE-TAX CASH FLOW	\$0	(\$14,500)	(\$15,020)	(\$15,294)	(\$15,574)	(\$257,861)	(\$4,258)	(\$4,429)	(\$4,606)	(\$4,790)	\$87,018
PRE-TAX NPV (\$242,235)	ן										
DIFFERENCE ALTERNATE A - ALTER	NATE B										
	YEAR 0	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
NET PRE-TAX CASH FLOW	(\$220,000)	\$11,000	\$11,380	\$11,508	\$11,637	\$253,766	(\$1,000)	(\$1,030)	(\$1,061)	(\$1,093)	(\$19,426)
	1										
PRE-TAX NPV \$44,382											
		(\$000.400)	(\$407.050)	(0400 700)	(0475.000)	#05.050	#04.000	#00.04	#00.007		
CUMULATIVE PRE-TAX NPV PER YEA	AK	(\$209,109)	(\$197,953)	(\$186,783)	(\$175,600)	\$65,850	\$64,908	\$63,947	\$62,967	\$61,968	\$44,382



SAMPLE STUDY- CHILLER REPLACEMENT AFTER-TAX CASH FLOWS

AFTER	R-TAX CASH FLOWS	Ī										
ALTER	RNATE A- REPLACE EXISTING C	HILLER	IOW WITH H	IGH-EFFICIE	ENCY CHIL	LER						
		YEAR 0	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	ALT A PRE-TAX CASH FLOW 30% ENERGY INVESTMENT TAX CREE	(\$220,000) DIT	<mark>(\$3,500)</mark> \$66,000	(\$3,640)	(\$3,786)	(\$3,937)	(\$4,095)	(\$5,258)	(\$5,459)	(\$5,667)	(\$5,883)	\$67,593
	DEPRECIATION TAX CREDIT TAX IMPACT OF COST OR SAVINGS		\$9,680	\$9,680	\$9,680	\$9,680	\$9,680					
	TOTAL AFTER-TAX CASH FLOW	(\$220,000)	\$72,180	\$6,040	\$5,894	\$5,743	\$5,585	(\$5,258)	(\$5,459)	(\$5,667)	(\$5,883)	\$67,593
	AFTER-TAX NPV (\$85,525)											
	AFTER-TAX NPV PER YEAR	[(\$148,535)	(\$142,614)	(\$136,893)	(\$131,374)	(\$126,059)	(\$131,013)	(\$136,104)	(\$141,337)	(\$146,716)	(\$85,525)
ALTER	RNATE B- REPLACE EXISTING C	HILLER I	N FIVE YEAF	RS								
		YEAR 0	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	ALT B PRE-TAX CASH FLOW	\$0	(\$14,500)	(\$15,020)	(\$15,294)	(\$15,574)	(\$257,861)	(\$4,258)	(\$4,429)	(\$4,606)	(\$4,790)	\$87,018
	10% ENERGY INVESTMENT TAX CRED	ЛТ						\$25,786				
	DEPRECIATION TAX CREDIT TAX IMPACT OF COST OR SAVINGS							\$11,346	\$11,346	\$11,346	\$11,346	\$11,346
	TOTAL AFTER-TAX CASH FLOW	\$0	(\$14,500)	(\$15,020)	(\$15,294)	(\$15,574)	(\$257,861)	(\$18,698)	\$6,917	\$6,740	\$6,556	\$98,364
	AFTER-TAX NPV (\$214,133)											
	AFTER-TAX NPV PER YEAR		(\$14,356)	(\$29,080)	(\$43,924)	(\$58,891)	(\$304,237)	(\$321,851)	(\$315,400)	(\$309,175)	(\$303,181)	(\$214,133)
DIFFE												
		VEAR	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2010
	NET AFTER-TAX CASH FLOW	(\$220,000)	\$86,680	\$21,060	\$21 188	\$21,317	\$263 446	\$13 440	(\$12,376)	(\$12 407)	(\$12,439)	(\$30,771)
		(\$220,000)	\$00,000	Q2 1,000	\$ 21,100	<i>Q21,011</i>	¢200,110	<i>Q</i> .0,110	(\$12,010)	(\$,)	(0.2, .00)	(\$00,)
	AFTER-TAX NPV \$128,608											
	AFTER-TAX NPV PER YEAR	[(\$134,178)	(\$113,533)	(\$92,968)	(\$72,483)	\$178,177	\$190,839	\$179,295	\$167,838	\$156,465	\$128,608
	AFTER-TAX IRR 17.42%											
	/ TEN //////////////////////////////////											



SAMPLE STUDY- ELECTRIC VS. GAS HEAT

CMC EI	ECTRIC	UTDE VE	CAR		A									
CMSEL	ECTRIC	1189 19	. GAS		Avg costs 9/13									
COST OF MONEY 3.00%				The purpose of	f this model is t									
GAS ENE	RGY ESCA	LATION		0.75%	.917/ therm	electric vs. gas	s heat- just the t	wo cab heater	s in flex					
ELEC EN	ERGY ESC	ALATION		3.00%	.124/ kWH	spaces								
OPERAT	NG EXPEN	ISE ESCAL	ATION	3.00%										
TAX RAT	E			0.00%										
RISK FAC	TOR			1.00										
PRE-TA	X CASH	FLOWS												
ALTER	NATE A-I	ELECTRI	C CABINET HE	ATERS: A	SSUME 8kW	/ 450 hours=	3600kWF							
				YEAR 0	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
<u> </u>				TEAN	2013	2014	2013	2010	2011	2010	2010	2020	2021	2022
and of yr		NVESTMTS	S AND RESIDUAL											
end of yr	NGAS ENE	PGV COS	T or SAVINGS		s0	S 0	02	50	50	S 0	60	S 0	SU	60
end of yr	ELEC ENE	RGV COST	f or SAVINGS		(\$448)	(\$480)	(\$474)	(\$499)	(\$502)	(\$517)	(\$533)	(\$540)	(\$585)	(\$582)
end of yr	OPERATIN	IG EXP CO	ST or SAVINGS		(0110)	(0400)	(+(+)	(0400)	(4002)	(0.017)	(0000)	(4040)	(\$505)	(0002)
end or y	OF ERVITIN		01010404000		40	44	40		40	40	40	44	4 0	40
	ALT A PR	E-TAX CAS	H FLOW	\$0	(\$446)	(\$460)	(\$474)	(\$488)	(\$502)	(\$517)	(\$533)	(\$549)	(\$565)	(\$582)
	PRE-TAX I	NPV	(\$4,334)											
ALTER	NATE B- (GAS-FIRE	ED HEAT; ASS	UME 123 T	HERMS (1 T	HERM= 29.3	3kWH)							
end of yr	CAPITAL I	NVESTMTS	S AND RESIDUAL											
end of yr	NGAS ENE	RGY COS	T or SAVINGS		(\$113)	(\$114)	(\$114)	(\$115)	(\$116)	(\$117)	(\$118)	(\$119)	(\$120)	(\$121)
end of yr	ELEC ENE	RGY COST	or SAVINGS			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
end of yr	OPERATIN	IG EXP CO	ST or SAVINGS			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		E TAX CAS	HELOW	90	(\$112)	(\$114)	(\$114)	(\$115)	(\$118)	(\$117)	(\$119)	/\$110\	(\$120)	(\$121)
<u> </u>	ALTOTIN			40	(\$113)	(*114)	(****)	(0110)	(\$110)	(****)	(0110)	(4110)	(\$120)	(\$121)
<u> </u>	PRE-TAX I	NPV	(\$004)											
DIFFER	ENCE AL	TERNAT		ATE E										
DITER				VEADA	2042	2044	2045	2040	2047	2040	2040	2020	2024	2022
				TEAR 0	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
	NET PRE-	TAX CASH	FLOW	\$0	(\$334)	(\$346)	(\$359)	(\$372)	(\$386)	(\$400)	(\$415)	(\$430)	(\$446)	(\$462)
	PRE-TAX I	NPV	(\$3,340)											

LEED IMPACT

- EA Points incentive in energy model
- Cost is not a factor
- Cradle-to-Grave thinking: Coal vs Natural Gas



EVALUATING RISK: IMPACT OF VARIABLES

LAUNDROMAT SOLAR COLLECTOR	S
COST OF MONEY	4.00%
GAS ENERGY ESCALATION	2.00%
ELEC ENERGY ESCALATION	4.00%
OPERATING EXPENSE ESCALATION	3.00%
TAX RATE	22.00%
RISK FACTOR	1.00
INITIAL CAPITAL INVESTMENT (Beg of Yr. 1)	(\$150,000)

RANDOM NUMBER LIMITS										
MIN		MAX								
	1.50%	3.50%								
	2.00%	6.00%								
	-2.00%	2.00%								

RANDOM NUMBER OUTPUT												
2.00%	3.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	3.00%	2.00%	3.00%		
2.00%	5.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	4.00%	4.00%	3.00%	3.00%	2.00%	6.00%		
1.00%	2.00%	1.00%	2.00%	-2.00%	2.00%	-2.00%	-2.00%	0.00%	-2.00%	1.00%		





EVALUATING RISK: SENSITIVITY

A METHOD OF STUDYING OPTIONS WITH A RANGE OF VARIABLES

\$100,000 \$90,000 \$80,000 \$70,000 \$60,000 \$50,000 \$40,000 \$30,000 \$20,000 \$10,000 \$-\$(10,000)





MONTE CARLO MODEL



WHAT'S NEW IN LIFE CYCLE ANALYSIS? LEED v4 Building Life Cycle Impact Reduction Compliant with ISO 14040 and ISO 14044 Up to five points



CRADLE-TO-GRAVE/ CRADLE CONSIDERATION



WHAT'S NEW IN LIFE CYCLE ANALYSIS? LEED v4 Building Product Disclosure Environmental Product Declarations- Two points

Environmental Product Declaration

Spray Polyurethane Foam Insulation and Roofing Systems

According to ISO 14025

(U)

End-of-Life

At end of life, it was assumed that all insulation materials removed from demolition of a building are transported to a local construction waste landfill, using 100 miles as the average distance to a landfill.

Life Cycle Assessment-Product

In addition to embodied Primary Energy by Life Cycle Stage previously covered, impact assessment results are given below for each embodied life cycle stage. Impact categories were calculated using TRACI 2.0 methodology. All results are based on 1 m^2 of spray foam insulation with a thickness that provides $R_{sl} = 1$.



Environment

Table 4a – Life Cycle Impacts per Functional Unit (R_{sI}=1@1m² or R5.68@1m²)

	_	Spray Foam Classification						
Life Cycle Impact	Units	Open-Cell Low Density (LD-SPF)	Closed-Cell Medium Density (MD-SPF)	Closed-Cell Roofing (Roof-SPF)				
Primary Energy Demand	MJ	50.5	94.8	136.7				
Climate Change or Global Warming	kg CO ₂ equiv.	2.4	27.6	34.3				
Acidification	mol H [⁺] equiv.	0.396	0.780	1.073				
Eutrophication	kg N equiv.	4.33E-04	8.99E-04	1.33E-04				
Ozone Depletion	kg CFC-11 equiv.	6.59E-08	1.15E-08	1.67E-07				
Smog Creation	kg O₃ equiv.	0.094	0.180	0.267				

ENVIRONMENTAL PRODUCT DECLARATIONS FOR ENTIRE LIFE CYCLE

THIRD-PARTY VERIFICATION



CONCLUSION

Planning and decision-making driven by many life-cycle factors

- Short and Long-term Utilization
- Financial Considerations
- Risk Analysis
- Cradle to Grave Life Cycle impact



